UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 24-6917	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,
Plaintiff - App	pellee,
v.	
ERIC JAMES CLARK, a/k/a E,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.
* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Currie, Senior District Judge. (5:18-cr-00999-CMC-1;
Submitted: May 15, 2025	Decided: June 26, 2025
Before AGEE and HARRIS, Circu	it Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Eric James Clark, Appellant Pro Se	c.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Eric James Clark seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion after conducting an evidentiary hearing. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Clark has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED